1 THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR19-159-RSL 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] NON-WAIVER ORDER 13 v. UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF 14 **EVIDENCE 502** PAIGE A. THOMPSON, Note on Motion Calendar: December 21, 15 Defendant. 2021 16 **STIPULATION** 17 Defendant Paige A. Thompson ("Defendant") and Capital One Bank (USA), 18 N.A./Capital One Financial Corp. ("Capital One") by their undersigned counsel, hereby 19 stipulate to and seek entry of a non-waiver order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), 20 showing the Court as follows: 21 WHEREAS, Defendant has served a subpoena on Capital One seeking, among other 22 documents and information, a report prepared for Capital One by iDiscovery Solutions 23 ("Report"), (attached as Exhibit A); and 24 WHEREAS, Capital One considers the Report protected by the attorney client 25 privilege and work product protection because, among other things, iDiscovery Solutions 26 ("iDS") (1) was retained by Capital One's outside counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP 27 ("Debevoise"), to review the process Capital One used to assess the scope of the 2019 hack, 28 STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP ORDER 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5600 Case No. CR19-159-RSL

Seattle, Washington 98104-7097 +1 206 839 4300

confirm the related conclusions Capital One made about the extent of exposure of certain

10 11 12

13

14

15 16 17

18 19

21

20

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

categories of personal information, and to allow Capital One to adequately comply with state data breach notification laws; (2) conducted its analysis at the direction of and in coordination with Debevoise, who actively participated in and directed iDS's work for the purposes of providing legal advice in anticipation of the litigation expected to follow the 2019 hack; and (3) delivered its Report to Debevoise at the conclusion of its analysis; and WHEREAS, Capital One and the Defendant stipulate under Federal Rule of Evidence

502(e) that Capital One's disclosure of the Report in this case does not waive any applicable privilege or protection that may apply to the Report or to any other documents and information. See Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) Advisory Committee's note to 2007 amendments ("Subdivision (e) codifies the well-established proposition that parties can enter an agreement to limit the effect of waiver by disclosure between or among them."); and

WHEREAS, Capital One and the Defendant seek entry of an order, as contemplated by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), incorporating their non-waiver stipulation and providing that Capital One's disclosure of the Report in this case shall not effect a waiver of any privileges or protections applicable to the Report or any other documents or information in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. See Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) (allowing a federal court to "order that the privilege or protection is not waived by disclosure connected with the litigation pending before the court—in which event the disclosure is also not a waiver in any other federal or state proceeding"); and

WHEREAS, Capital One and the Defendant agree that entry of a stipulated non-waiver order will facilitate the resolution of the dispute over the Report and otherwise promote judicial economy.

NOW, THEREFORE, Capital One and the Defendant respectfully request that the Court enter an order incorporating the above non-waiver stipulation as contemplated by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d). A proposed order is attached for the Court's consideration.

## Case 2:19-cr-00159-RSL Document 129 Filed 12/21/21 Page 3 of 4

1	Respectfully submitted this 21st day of December, 2021.	
2	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
3		
4	/s/ Mohammad Ali	
5	<u>Hamoudi</u> Mohammad Ali Hamoudi	/s/ Aravind Swaminathan Aravind Swaminathan, WSBA #33883
6	Nancy Tenney	701 Fifth Avenue
7	Christopher Sanders	Suite 5600 Seattle, WA 98104-7097
8	WAYMAKER LLP	Telephone: (206) 839-4300
9	/s/ Brian Klein	Attorneys for Capital One
10	Brian Klein	
11	Melissa Meister	
12		
13	Attornaya fou Daigo Thomason	
14	Attorneys for Paige Thompson	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED]	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Case No.: CR19-159-RSL

1 STIPULATED NON-WAIVER ORDER 2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 3 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), neither Capital One's 4 production of the report by iDiscovery Solutions ("Report") nor its non-assertion of 5 any claim of privilege or protection over the Report in this case is a waiver of any 6 applicable privilege or protection that may apply to the Report or to any other 7 documents and information in (i) this case or (ii) any other federal or state proceeding. 8 2. Neither this Order nor Capital One's production of the Report shall 9 require Capital One to produce any other documents or information (including 10 testimony) in this case other than the Report at issue. 11 3. Neither this Order nor Capital One's production of the Report may be 12 used in this case or in any other proceeding to argue that Capital One has waived any 13 privilege or protection over any documents or information. 14 15 DATED this day of , 2021. 16 17 18 Robert S. Lasnik United States District Court Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP